

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details

Permit application details

Permit application No.:

Permit type:

Area Permit

Proponent details

Proponent's name:

Shire of Augusta / Margaret River

Property details 1.3.

Property:

LOT 295 ON PLAN 35240 (House No. 27 TUNBRIDGE MARGARET RIVER 6285) MARGARET RIVER TOWNSITE LOT 212 (House No. 17 FARRELLY MARGARET RIVER

6285)

Local Government Area:

Colloquial name:

Shire Of Augusta-Margaret River

Application

Clearing Area (ha)

No. Trees

Method of Clearing

For the purpose of: Miscellaneous

0.1

0.1

Mechanical Removal Mechanical Removal

Building or Structure

Site Information

Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

Vegetation Description

Mattiske Vegetation complex Wilyabrup (W1): Tall open forest of Eucalyptus diversicolor-Corymbia calophylla-Allocasuarina decussata-Agonis flexuosa on deeply incised valleys in the hyperhumid zone. (Mattiske Consulting 1998)

Clearing Description

The vegetation to be cleared lies within the grounds of Margaret River Hospital and is for the purpose of a carpark. It is an area of high disturbance and low native species density.

Vegetation Condition

Degraded: Structure severely disturbed; regeneration to good condition requires intensive management (Keighery 1994)

Comment

This application has been identified as a possible exemption under Item 1, Section 51C of the Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004. The proponent was advised about the possible exemption, however chose to continue with the application.

Assessment has been primarily based on aerial photograpahy and other associated literature.

association 3: Medium forest; jarrah-marri (Hopkins et al 2001. Shephard et al 2001)

Beard vegetation

Assessment of application against clearing principles

(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The vegetation under application is located in an area that has been extensively cleared and is used for urban and industrial purposes. The high level of disturbance at this site and low native species density suggests that the original biodiversity has been significantly compromised. The area under application is therefore not likely to be self-sustaining into the future and does not contain higher level of biodiversity than that found locally in the nearby reserves which are managed for conservation purposes.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Mattiske Vegetation CALM 23/03/98
- Pre-European Vegetation DA 01/01
- CALM Managed lands and waters CALM 01/06/04

(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The clearing proposed is an area totalling 0.1 hectares and has no connectivity to larger tracts of native vegetation. The high level of disturbance at this site, close proximity to urban and industrial development, and limited diversity of native species suggests that the original biodiversity and habitat value has been significantly compromised. The vegetation is therefore unlikely to provide a significant habitat for indigenous flora.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Busselton 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 03

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Nine occurrences of the Declared Flora Species (DRF), Caladenia excelsa, and 4 occurrences of Priority 3 Flora, Bossiaea disticha, have been recorded within a 10km radius of the proposed clearing. The closest occurrence of DRF is 5km to the west of the proposed clearing site and is within the same broad vegetation type as the proposed clearing area. However, the DRF occurrences are not on the same Mattiske vegetation type proposed clearing.

The proposed clearing of 0.1ha is within the Townsite of Margaret River where the native vegetation has been highly disturbed. It is unlikely that the proposed clearing will impact on any local DRF occurrences and DRF species.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Declared rare and Priority Flora List - CALM 01/07/05

(d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no known threatened Ecological Communities within a 10km radius.

Methodology

GIS Database:

- Threatened Ecological Communities - CALM 12/04/05

(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The proposed clearing area falls within the Warren IBRA region which has 86.8% of Pre-European vegetation remaining. Vegetation types within the proposed clearing include Beard Veg Association 3 with 72.1% of Pre-European vegetation and Mattiske Complex Wilyabrup with 61.9% remaining. The representations of current vegetation give the area a status of 'Least Concern' (Department of Natural Resources and Environment, 2002).

Given the extent of vegetation types throughout the state and local area, small scale clearing and high disturbance within the proposed clearing it is unlikely that the proposed clearing is at variance with this principle.

Methodology

Department of Natural Resources and Environment, 2002

(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The Margaret River lies approx 700m to the north of the proposed clearing area. The Waters and River Commission position statement recommends buffer widths of approximately 200m for watercourse or wetland areas. This proposal is not within 200 m buffer of the Margaret River.

As the scale of clearing is small (0.1 hectare), water table levels will not be affected. In addition there is significant urban development with drainage infrastructure between the Margaret River and the proposed clearing site, so any run off produced from the clearing should be filtered into the relevant areas and away from wetlands and watercourse.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Hydrography, linear (hierachy DOW
- Lakes 250K GA
- EPP Areas DEP 06/95

- EPP, Lakes DEP 28/07/03
- ANCA Wetlands CALM 08/01

(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Due to the relatively narrow dimensions of the clearing, it is not expected that erosion would increase by any appreciable amount. The local area has low salinity risk and there is no know Acid Sulphate Soil disturbance in the area.

Based on the amount of vegetation proposed for removal, and the already degraded nature of the area under application, approval of this proposal is considered unlikely to cause appreciable impact on land degradation.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Acid Suplhate Soil Risk Map, SCP DOE 01/02/04
- Salinity Risk LM 25m DOLA 001

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The following conservation areas are within a 10km radius of the proposed clearing area

- Bramley National Park
- Keenan State forest
- Leeuwin Naturaliste National Park

As the proposed area to be cleared is of a small scale within an urban zone that is highly disturbed, it is not likely that the clearing will impact on the environmental values of the identified conservation reserves.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- CALM Managed Lands and Water - CALM 01/08/04

(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The proposed clearing area falls within the Busselton - Capel Groundwater area under the Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (RIWI), has an average annual rainfall of 1200mm and is not within a public drinking water source area (PDWSA). When considering the area under application is in a high rainfall area, does not form part of the PDWSA and the scale of the proposed clearing is small, it is unlikely that there will be a significant affect on ground or surface waters.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSAs) DOE 04/11/04
- Rainfall, Mean Annual BOM 30/09/01
- RIWI Act, Groundwater Areas WRC 13/06/00

(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Due to the scale and nature of the proposed clearing it is unlikely to exacerbate flooding in the local area.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Topographic Contours, Statewide - DOLA 12/09/02

Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

Comments

This application has been identified as a possible exemption under Item 1, Section 51C of the Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004. The proponent was advised about the possible exemption, however chose to continue with the application.

There is a Native Title Claim over the area under application. The Department of Environment and Conservation's advertising of the application in the West Australian newspaper constitutes legal notification of the native title representative body for the purpose of the future act procedures under the Native Title Act 1993. No response was received from the representative body.

The Department advises that the proponent contact the relevant authorities to seek advice on whether or not

the road works will impact upon the Aboriginal Sites of Significance listed within the area under application.

The area proposed to be cleared lies within the Busselton-Capel RIWI area. As the works will not involve the use of groundwater a RIWI licence is not required.

No Works approval is required for the proposed works.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Aboriginal Sites of Significance DIA 28/02/03
- Native Title Claims DLI 07/11/05
- RIWI Act. Groundwater Areas WRC 13/06/00
- RIWI Act, Surface Water Areas WRC 18/10/02

Assessor's recommendations

Purpose Method Applied Decision Comment / recommendation

> area (ha)/ trees 0.1

Grant

Assessable criteria have been addressed and no objections were raised, the assessing officer therefore recommends that the permit should be granted.

Structure Removal

Building or Mechanic

MiscellanecMechanic al

Removal

0.1

Public Car park

5. References

us

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Mattiske Consulting (1998) Mapping of vegetation complexes in the South West forest region of Western Australia, CALM. Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.

6. Glossary

Term Meaning

CALM Department of Conservation and Land Management

Department of Agriculture **DAWA**

Department of Environmental Protection (now DoE) DEP

DoE Department of Environment

Department of Industry and Resources DoIR

DRF Declared Rare Flora

EPP Environmental Protection Policy GIS Geographical Information System Hectare (10,000 square metres) ha **TEC** Threatened Ecological Community

Water and Rivers Commission (now DoE) **WRC**